

General Services Administration Office of General Counsel Washington, DC 20405

April 11, 1996

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Subject:

Implementation of Section 302 of the Telecommunications

Act of 1996, Open Video Systems, CS Docket No. 96-46

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed please find the original and eleven copies of the General Services Administration's Reply Comments for filing in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies of this filing have been served on all interested parties.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Ettner

Senior Assistant General Counsel

Michael , Etters

Personal Property Division

Enclosures

CC:

International Transcription Service

Larry Walke (Diskette)

No. of Capito proofs Orll

P. Trans

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)

Implementation of Section 302 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Open Video Systems

CS Docket No. 96-46

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

EMILY C. HEWITT General Counsel

VINCENT L. CRIVELLA Associate General Counsel Personal Property Division

MICHAEL J. ETTNER Senior Assistant General Counsel Personal Property Division

Economic Consultant:

Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Lee, Inc. 1220 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION 18th & F Streets, N.W., Room 4002 Washington, D.C. 20405

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Implementation of Section 302 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996

Open Video Systems

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

The General Services Administration ("GSA"), on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies, submits these Reply Comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM"), FCC 96-99, released March 11, 1996. In this NPRM, the Commission requested comments and replies on the implementation of open video systems ("OVS").

I. Introduction

In Comments filed on April 1, 1996, GSA urged the Commission to modify its Part 64 rules to provide an effective accounting safeguard against the cross-subsidization of OVS by telephone ratepayers.¹ GSA recommended that the Commission require local exchange carriers ("LECs") to classify all video dialtone ("VDT") and OVS costs as

¹ Comments of GSA, pp. 3-4.

unregulated immediately.2

Comments were also filed by a broad range of interested parties, including:

- The United States Telephone Association ("USTA"), the National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NTCA") and nine individual LECs;
- The National Cable Television Association ("NCTA") and twenty-two other cable parties;
- The National Association of Broadcasters ("NAB") and seven other broadcaster parties;
- The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") and four state commissions;
- Nine cities and city representatives;
- Eight consumer advocates;
- Six providers of video programming; and
- Two interexchange carriers ("IXCs").

In these Reply Comments, GSA responds to the positions and proposals of these parties.

² <u>Id</u>., pp. 4-5.

II. The Commission Should Not Require Telephone Ratepayers To Subsidize the Provision of Video Services By LECs.

In its Comments, GSA expressed its concern that, as a large user of telecommunications services, it might be forced to subsidize LEC provision of OVS.³ GSA urged the Commission to modify its Part 64 rules to prevent such cross-subsidization.⁴

There was broad support for GSA's position in the Comments of other parties.⁵ MCI, in its capacity as a large customer of LEC services, urges the Commission to "fully address the dangers to telecommunications customers of cross-subsidizing open video systems." NARUC states:

Both these statements implicitly recognize the 1996 Act's intent that ratepayers of basic telephone services not subsidize LEC competitive offerings. Such cross-subsidies not only could result in telephone ratepayers paying higher rates, or not receiving deserved rate reductions, but also would give LECs an unfair competitive advantage over competing providers of multichannel video distribution services.⁷

At least one of the LECs, U S West, Inc. ("U S West"), acknowledges that cost allocation is necessary "to ensure that an OVS operator's regulated telephone business is not

³ <u>Id</u>., p. 3.

⁴ <u>Id</u>., p. 4.

⁵ <u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Comments of The Alliance for Community Media, Alliance for Communications Democracy, Consumer Federation of America, Consumer Project on Technology, Center for Media Education, and People for the American Way ("the Coalition"), pp. 2-7; The Motion Picture Association of America, Inc. ("MPAA"), p. 8; Rainbow Programming Holdings, Inc., pp. 25-27.

⁶ Comments of MCI, pp. 1 and 8.

⁷ Comments of NARUC, p. 6.

bearing a disproportionate share of the cost of commonly used network infrastructure."8

Virtually all commenting cable operators also emphasize the importance of preventing cross-subsidization.⁹ Time Warner Cable ("Time Warner") explains that LEC cross-subsidization could not only reduce competition in the video business, but also in the telephone business. Time Warner states:

There is a significant risk that LECs will enter the video business not because they believe such entry will be profitable but in an attempt to limit the resources cable operators will have available to invest in entry into the telephone business. Thus, subsidized LEC video service rates may not only be a means of unfairly capturing customers in the MVPD marketplace, but also (and perhaps primarily) as a means of preserving the LEC's local telephone monopoly.¹⁰

There is agreement among all commenting parties that the Commission's Part 64 rules, properly modified, will provide an effective safeguard against cross-subsidization.¹¹ The California Commission states:

The proper allocation of costs between regulated and unregulated services under Part 64 of the Commission's rules assures that ratepayers of regulated services are not subsidizing unregulated competitive services. This is necessary to ensure a level playing field for the competitive market - in this instance the open video systems

⁸ Comments of U S West, p. 8.

⁹ <u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Comments of NCTA, pp. 20-23; Joint Comments of Cablevision Systems Corporation and The California Cable Television Association ("Joint Commenters"), pp. 25-31; American Cable Entertainment, et al. ("Commenters"), pp. 20-22.

¹⁰ Comments of Time Warner, pp. 9-10.

¹¹ <u>See, e.g.</u>, Comments of NYNEX Corporation ("NYNEX"), p. 23; Continental Cablevision, Inc. ("Cablevision"), p. 11; the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PaPUC"), p. 8.

market.12

Most commenting parties also emphasize that the LECs must modify their procedures to comply with the Commission's Part 64 rules, as modified in an upcoming proceeding, before they apply for certification as an OVS provider.¹³ TCI states:

Even with a bright-line test for allocating costs, there would be insufficient time during the ten-day certification period to ensure compliance with cost allocation rules. Fortunately, the statute does not require the Commission to do so....Establishment of cost allocation rules and a carrier's demonstration of compliance are matters applicable to the regulated carrier and not to OVS, and they can and must occur outside the OVS certification process if they are to be effective.¹⁴

GSA agrees with TCI's assessment, and urges the Commission to require the LECs to comply with its modified Part 64 rules prior to their making application for OVS certification.

III. The Commission Should Require LECs to Classify All VDT And OVS Costs As Unregulated.

In its Comments, GSA pointed out that the substantial investments the LECs have already made under the Commission's VDT rules are now in a state of regulatory limbo.¹⁵

¹² Comments of the People of the State of California and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("California Commission"), p. 12.

¹³ <u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Comments of Cox Communications, Inc. ("Cox"), p. 7; Comcast Cable Communications, Inc., Adelphia Communications Corporation and InterMedia Partners, L.P. ("Comcast, et al."), p.8; Time Warner, p. 15.

¹⁴ Comments of Tele-Communications, Inc. ("TCI"), p. 7.

¹⁵ Comments of GSA, pp. 4-5.

GSA urged the Commission to issue either a Declaratory Ruling or a Responsible Accounting Officer Letter to ensure that both VDT and OVS costs are immediately classified as unregulated by the LECs pursuant to the Commission's Part 64 rules.¹⁶

Other commenting parties agreed that the status of costs associated with VDT must be addressed by the Commission. Whether individual LECs ultimately decide to convert their VDT systems to OVS or cable service is immaterial as far as the application of Part 64 rules is concerned. VDT investments are not used or useful in the provision of telephone service and must now be classified as unregulated. Until the Commission formally addresses this problem, many LECs will undoubtedly continue to consider their VDT costs as regulated, distorting both interstate and intrastate ratemaking. The Commission should act immediately to rectify this situation.

¹⁶ <u>Id</u>., p. 5.

¹⁷ <u>See, e.g.,</u> Comments of Cox, p. 8; Comcast, et al., p. 8; Time Warner, pp. 28-30.

IV. Conclusion

As the agency vested with the responsibility for acquiring telecommunications services on a competitive basis for use of the Federal Executive Agencies, GSA urges the Commission immediately to require LECs to classify all VDT and OVS costs as unregulated for purposes of Part 64 of its rules.

Respectfully submitted, EMILY C. HEWITT General Counsel

VINCENT L. CRIVELLA Associate General Counsel Personal Property Division

MICHAEL J. ETTNER

Senior Assistant General Counsel Personal Property Division

michael & Etters

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION 18th & F Streets, N.W., Rm. 4002 Washington, D.C. 20405 (202) 501-1156

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

l_	MICHAEL J	ETTNER		, do hereby ce	ertify that copi	es of the
foregoing	g "Reply Com	ments of the Ge	eneral Services A	Administration"	were served	this 11th
day of A	pril, 1996, by	hand delivery	or postage paid	I to the followir	ng parties:	

Meredith Jones Chief, Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, N.W., Room 918 Washington, D.C. 20554

Regina M. Keeney Chief, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500 Washington, D.C. 20554

Larry Walke Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, N.W., Room 408A Washington, D.C. 20554

Kenneth P. Moran Chief, Accounting and Audits Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Room 812 Washington, D.C. 20554

Ken Ackerman
Chief, Accounting Systems Branch
Accounting and Audits Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Room 812
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service, Inc. Suite 140 2100 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Paul Schwedler, Esquire Asst. Regulatory Counsel, Telecommunications Defense Info. Agency, Code AR 701 South Courthouse Road Arlington, VA 22204-2199

Edith Herman Senior Editor Communications Daily 2115 Ward Court, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Telecommunications Reports 11th Floor, West Tower 1333 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Richard B. Lee
Vice President
Snavely King Majoros O'Connor
& Lee, Inc.
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 410
Washington, D.C. 20005

Daniel L. Brenner
National Cable Television Association
1724 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Alan J. Gardner California Cable Television Association 4341 Piedmont Avenue Oakland, CA 94611

Michael Hammer Attorney for Time Warner Cable Wilkie Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Center 1155 21st Street, N.W Washington, D.C. 20036

Stephen R. Effros Cable Telecommunications Assoc. 3950 Chain Bridge Road P.O. Box 1005 Fairfax, VA 22030-1105

Michael S. Schooler
Attorney for Comcast Cable
Communications
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter H. Feinberg Attorney for Cox Communictions Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Donna N. Lampert
Attorney for Cablevision Systems Corp.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Howard J. Symons
Attorney for Tele-Communications
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
.701 Pennsylvania, Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Mark Melnick, Esq.
Group W Satellite Communications
250 Harbor Drive
Stamford, CT 06904-2210

John D. Seiver
Attorney for Cable Telecommunications
Association
Cole, Raywide & Braverman, L.L.P.
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

Frank W. Lloyd
Attorney for Continental Cablevision
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris
Glovsky and Pepeo
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Sondra J. Tomlinson US West 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

David Cosson National Telephone Cooperative Assoc. 2626 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Leslie A. Vial Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Donald C. Rowe, Esq. NYNEX Corporation 1111 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604

Andrew D. Lipman
Attorney for MFS
Swidler & Berling, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007

Mary Gardiner Jones Alliance for Public Technology 901 15th Street, N.W. Suite 230 Washington, D.C. 20005

Jeffrey Hops Alliance for Community Media 666 11th Street, N.W. Suite 806 Washington, D.C. 20001-4542

Lawrence Fenster
MCI
1801 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Blossom A. Peretz, Esq. Ratepayer Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, NJ 07101

Mark C.Rosenblum AT&T Corporation 295 North Maple Avenue Room 3245F3 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Paul Rodgers
National Assoiciation of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1102 ICC Building
P.O. Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044

Mary Mack Adu Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 John A. Levin
Public Utility Commission
G-31 North Office Building
Commonwealth and North Streets
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Maureen O. Helmer Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Charles S. Walsh Attorney for Motion Picture Assocition of America, Inc. Fleischman and Walsh, L.L.P. 1400 16th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Howard J. Symons
Attorney for Rainbow Programming
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Samuel A. Simon, Esq. Access 2000 901 15th Street, N.W. Suite 230 Washington, D.C. 30005

Lawrence W. Secrest, III Attorney for Viacom, Inc. Wiley, Rein & Felding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Andrew D. Lipman
Attorney for Residential
Communications Network
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 30007

Michael H. Hammer Attorney for Home Box Office Willkie Farr & Gallagher Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Quincy Rodgers
General Instrument
Two Lafayette Center
1133 21st Street, N.W.
Suite 405
Washington, D.C. 20036

John V. Roach
Attorney for Electronic Industries
Association
1800 One Tandy Center
Fort Worth, TX 76102

Jeffrey L. Sheldon UTC 1140 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036

Jot D. Carpenter, Jr.
Telecommunications Industry Association
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 315
Washington, D.C. 20044-0407

Philip R. Hochberg
Attorney for National Basketball Assoc.
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson & Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005

Robert Alan Garrett Attorney for Baseball Arnold & Porter 555 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Henry L. Baumann National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter Tannenwald
Attorney for Community Broadcasters
Association
Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C.
1730 Rhode Isalnd Ave., N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036-1811

Marilyn Mohrman-Gillis
Association of America's Public
Television Stations
1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark W. Johnson CBS, Inc. 1634 I Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20006

Sam Antar Capital Cities/ABC, Inc. 77 West 66th Street New York, NY 10023

Robert B. Jacobi
Attorney for Golden Orange
Broadcasting Co., Inc.
Cohn and Marks
1333 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

Lawrence R. Sidman
Attorney for National Broadcasting
Company
Verner, Kiipfert, Bernhard,
McPherson & Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005

James J. Popham Association of Local Television Stations, Inc. 1320 19th Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20036

Matthew Lampe
Dept. Of Administrative Services
616 Second Avenue
12 Floor - Alaska Building
Seattle, WA 93104-2214

Deborah L. Ortega City and County Building 1330 Fox Street - 2nd Floor Denver, CO 80204

Thomas D. Creighton
Attorney for Political Subdivisions
Bernick and Lifson, P.A.
5500 Wayzata Boulveard
Suite 1200 The Colonnade
Minneapolis, MN 55416

Walter S. de la Cruz Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications 11 Metrotech Center Third Floor Brooklyn, NY 11201

Janis D. Everhart Attorney for the Texas Cities 1500 Marilla, Room 7/D/N Dallas, TX 75201

James E.Meyers Attorney for City of Olathe 1555 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Tom Nicholas General Metro Cable Consortium 14949 East Alameda Drive Aurora, CO 80012

Rick Maultra 200 East Washington Street City-County Building Room G-19 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Nicholas P. Miller Attorney for the National League of Cities Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone 1225 19th Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

